


2021-RuthStark-Slack- post-communication-to-nonspecialists

The link from ASBMBToday that is appended here (and hopefully available to non-members) reminds us to consider our audiences when publishing (and presenting) our findings: [https://www.asbmb.org/asbmb-today/opinions/062921/we-need-to-make-scientific-papers-understandable-f?utm\\_source=Emailer](https://www.asbmb.org/asbmb-today/opinions/062921/we-need-to-make-scientific-papers-understandable-f?utm_source=Emailer)

 asbmb.org

[We need to make scientific papers understandable for nonscientists](https://www.asbmb.org/asbmb-today/opinions/062921/we-need-to-make-scientific-papers-understandable-f?utm_source=Emailer)

The public is ill-equipped to understand scientists' technical and jargon-filled writing, so people rely on the media and alternative sources for their scientific information. Sometimes this works out fine. Sometimes not. (293 kB)

[https://www.asbmb.org/asbmb-today/opinions/062921/we-need-to-make-scientific-papers-understandable-f?utm\\_source=Emailer](https://www.asbmb.org/asbmb-today/opinions/062921/we-need-to-make-scientific-papers-understandable-f?utm_source=Emailer)